

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/810,267	03/26/2004	Andrew Kapochunas	384.7817USU	8528		
7	7590 10/26/2006			EXAMINER		
Paul D. Greeley, Esq.			PARDO,	PARDO, THUY N		
Ohlandt, Greele	ey, Ruggiero & Perle, L.	.L.P.				
10th Floor	37 00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
One Landmark Square			2165	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			DATE MAILED: 10/26/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Cont 10/810,267	Reexa	Reexamination KAPOCHUNAS ET AL.	
	Thuy Pardo	Art Un 2165		
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC			

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

•	
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	iew filed <u>09/26/2006</u> .
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper ar reason(s):	nd a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Proposed ☐ Other: 	view is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No	om the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from tice of Appeal has been received.
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interned held. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whiche appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based u of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ission, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determined the status of the classical Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rerapplicant at this time.	held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of nains closed. No further action is required by
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been had action will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) <u>Jeffrey A. Gaffin.</u> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	(3) <u>Hosain Alam</u> .
(2) Thuy Pardo	(4)